

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website: www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 221/2021/SCIC

Shri. Jawaharlal T. Shetye,
H.No. 35/A, Ward No. 11,
Khorlim, Mapusa-Goa.

.....Appellant

V/S

1. The Public Information Officer,
Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa 403507.

2. The First Appellate Authority,
The Chief Officer,
Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa 403507.

.....Respondents

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 01/09/2021

Decided on: 08/02/2023

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Shri. Jawaharlal T. Shetye, r/o. H.No. 35/A, Ward No. 11, Khorlim, Mapusa-Goa by his application dated 07/06/2021 filed under Section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought the certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO), Mapusa Municipal Council, Mapusa-Goa.
2. The said application was not responded by the PIO within stipulated time, deeming the same as refusal, the Appellant preferred first appeal before the Chief Officer, Mapusa Municipal Council at Mapusa Goa, being the First Appellant Authority (FAA).
3. Since the FAA failed and neglected to hear and dispose the first appeal within stipulated time, the Appellant landed before the Commission with this second appeal under Section 19(3) of the Act.

4. Notices were issued to the parties, pursuant to which the PIO, Shri. Santosh Humraskar appeared on 04/11/2022 and furnished the bunch of documents to the Appellant. He also placed on record the compliance report dated 04/11/2022. Representative of the FAA, Adv. Pallavi Dicholkar appeared on 11/07/2022, however opted not to file any reply in the matter. Appellant submitted that he wanted some time to scrutinise the documents provided by the PIO and matter was posted for clarification/ order on 06/12/2022.
5. During the course of hearing on 10/01/2023, the incumbent PIO Shri. Rajendra Bagkar appeared and submitted that information asked for has been already furnished to the Appellant on 04/11/2022. Upon receiving the information, the Appellant did not remain present for the hearings viz 10/01/2023 and 08/02/2023 nor disputed the information provided to him by the PIO. I therefore, presume and hold that, the Appellant is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO. Accordingly the matter is disposed off.
- Proceeding closed.
 - Pronounced in the open court.
 - Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner